

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-12, 14-21, and 23-34 are pending in the present application. No amendments are made in this response. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

I. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

The examiner rejected claims 1-34 under obviousness-type double patenting in view of claims 1-43 of U.S. Patents 6,904,594 and 6,735,758. In response, Applicants have included a terminal disclaimer with this paper, thereby overcoming the rejection. Accordingly, the claims should now be in condition for allowance.

II. Conclusion

The subject application is patentable over the cited references and should now be in condition for allowance. The examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number if in the opinion of the examiner such a telephone conference would expedite or aid the prosecution and examination of this application.

DATE: July 6, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/Theodore D. Fay, III/

Theodore D. Fay, III
Reg. No. 48,504
Yee & Associates, P.C.
P.O. Box 802333
Dallas, TX 75380
(972) 385-8777
Attorney for Applicants